

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 09/695,216	Applicant(s) LAUCKHART ET AL.	
	Examiner JOHN MACILWINEN	Art Unit 2442	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JOHN MACILWINEN. (3) ____.

(2) MICHAEL W. ZIMMERMAN (Reg. No. 57,993). (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 19 November 2012.

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference
 ☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Issues Discussed ☐101 ☐112 ☐102 ☒103 ☒Others
 (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: 1,70 and 75.

Identification of prior art discussed: Gupta (US 6,487,538 B1) and Alberts (5,937,392).

Substance of Interview
 (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

The Examiner noted that Applicant's arguments, directed to claim 75 on pages 12 - 14 of their 10/13/2012 Appeal Brief were persuasive, and that the Application could be brought into condition for allowance by rewriting the pending independent claims with the features recited in claim 75. The Examiner also noted that the limitations recited in claim 75 (regarding what value was "divided by" another) required correction in order for said language to be operational and consistent with the description provided in Applicant's Specification. The Examiner also noted that the branch of claims beginning in claim 70 had issues with regard to 35 USC 101 that required addressing. Finally, the Examiner noted that structural arrangement of claim 1 required clarification. After conferring with their client, the Examiner and Applicant's Representative agreed to amend the claims as presented in the present Examiner's Amendment.

Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

/JOHN MACILWINEN/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2442	
--	--